

**To:** Rusyn, Ivan[IRusyn@cvm.tamu.edu]  
**Cc:** 'Kathryn Guyton (GuytonK@iarc.fr)'[GuytonK@iarc.fr]; Martin, Matt[Martin.Matt@epa.gov]; dmreif@ncsu.edu[dmreif@ncsu.edu]  
**From:** Chiu, Weihsueh  
**Sent:** Mon 1/9/2017 2:10:56 AM  
**Subject:** RE: IARC and ToxCast manuscript  
Chiu et al. revised - Changes highlighted.docx  
Chiu et al. revised Highlights - changes highlighted.docx  
Chiu et al revised - Responses to Reviewers.docx

Thanks!! I've made a few minor editorial changes, and shortened the abstract to the required 200 words.

If there are no further comments, I will submit this tomorrow!

I sincerely appreciate everyone's contributions in carrying this forward! Looking forward to getting this published!

--Weihsueh

**From:** Rusyn, Ivan  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 7, 2017 10:18 AM  
**To:** Chiu, Weihsueh <WChiu@cvm.tamu.edu>  
**Cc:** 'Kathryn Guyton (GuytonK@iarc.fr)' <GuytonK@iarc.fr>; martin.matt@epa.gov; David Reif <dmreif@ncsu.edu>  
**Subject:** IARC and ToxCast manuscript

Weihsueh,

I have cleaned up the responses and the text, cross-checked page numbers in the rebuttal and prepared these documents.

I do not have the "Highlights" text, that also needs a revision in response to reviewer 2#14, please do it:

14. The last highlight indicating how HTS data can be used in cancer hazard

evaluations is not supported by the information in the manuscript as drafted. The manuscript shows only a portion of this.

•☐☐☐☐☐☐ **RESPONSE:** The highlight has been revised “This manuscript examines how such data could be used in cancer hazard evaluations”

I believe we need to push it out to the journal...

Thanks,

Ivan